

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	RELEASE OF CUSTOMER NAMES, ADDRESSES AND RATE CLASSES.....	3
A.	Introduction.....	3
B.	Names, Addresses and Rate Class Information Should Not Be Subject to an Opt-Out or Opt-In Requirement.....	4
C.	Similar Treatment of Standard Offer Service Customer Data Is Reasonable.....	5
D.	“Electricity-Related Services” Should be Defined.....	6
III.	DISSEMINATION OF PROPRIETARY CUSTOMER DATA.....	7
A.	The Department’s Proposal With Respect to Historic Load Information Is Reasonable And Should Be Adopted But Credit Data Should Not Be Included.....	7
1.	Load/Usage Information Is Proprietary.....	7
2.	Usage Data Should Be Released on Affirmative Authorization But Credit Information Should Not Be Disseminated.....	8
3.	An Opt-Out Procedure For The Release of Confidential Information Should Be Rejected.....	9
4.	Opt-Out Is Contrary To Customer Interests.....	10
5.	Opt-Out Is Contrary To The Department’s Regulations And The Electric Utility Restructuring Act.....	11
6.	The Cost of Opt-Out To A Distribution Company Is High And Unfairly Places The Distribution Company In the Middle Of A Customer-Competitive Supplier Relationship.....	12
7.	The Fact That Other States May Employ Some Type of Opt-Out Does Not Guide Massachusetts.....	13
B.	More Expansive Variants of An Opt-In Plan Should Not Be Implemented.....	14

C. Clarification Is Needed Before It Is Possible To Determine If Additional Types of Information Should Be Released To Competitive Suppliers.....	15
D. The Type of Signature Needed to Effectuate Supplier Switching And Release Of Proprietary Information Should Be Further Investigated.....	15
IV. CONCLUSION.....	17